

Lecture: Kant of Phil Sci - Data of Pheno, Baye & Woodward, Stein, Messimi

19 Jan 2014
①

Relationship between theory & experiment?
Data and phenomena? explain theory-ledness of observation

⇒ reminiscent of Kant's distinction between judgments of experience vs perception, and pure logical reasoning (based only on pure forms of intuition, no input from perceived concepts at all)

Stein ("structure of knowledge of physics")

- 3 aspects of sci's knowledge
achieved result (susceptible of justification (involving "structure of evidence"), origin of entropy (involving 1st by many respects constraints of 2nd))

- 2 diff't "kinds" of knowledge, esp'ial of theor'ical — both have all 3 aspects, question is, how do they come together to form a unified whole?

⇒ shown by diff' ^{possible} reps of formulations of them —
- theoretical can be written down and learned
- experimental comes only in practice

⇒ also shows clear "theoretical" vs "observational" distinction Carnap championed

⇒ how they come together? schematizing the observer
"to secure empirical content — content w/in experience — for an abstract structure"
a possibility a possibility within the math'ic framework.

B of W ("Swing Phae")

- data vs phenomena distinction - stable for Stern's functional vs exp'l
- different types of knowledge, both in form (what they can do) (Stern's 2nd aspect)
and in their epistemic roles (Stern's 2nd aspect)
and in relation to theory - theory explains better, not former - cf. Stern's lack of "logical deduction" of observations from theory
- former in general is observable, latter in general not
- data idiosyncratic to particular exp'l setups, phenomena not, rather stable and detectable by variety of procedures
- data not dependent on "constitutive a priori"