

Schedule of Lectures for “Kant and the Philosophy of Science”

Dr. Erik Curiel

Erik.Curiel@lrz.uni-muenchen.de

office: Ludwigstr. 31, R126

office hours: by appointment

course website:

<http://strangebeautiful.com/lmu/2014-winter-kant-phil-sci.html>

Winter, 2014–2015

Wednesdays, 14:00–16:00 C.T.

Ludwigstr. 31, 021

Contents

1	Weeks 1–2: Introduction, Historical Background, Newton (Oct. 08–15)	2
1.1	Week 1: Introduction (Oct. 08)	2
1.2	Week 2: Newton (Oct. 15)	2
2	Weeks 3–5: Kant (Oct. 22–Nov. 05)	3
2.1	Week 3: Kant, <i>Critique of Pure Reason</i> , Part 1 (Oct. 22)	3
2.2	Week 4: Kant, <i>Critique of Pure Reason</i> , Part 2 (Oct. 29)	4
2.3	Week 5: Kant, <i>Prolegomena</i> (Nov. 05)	5
3	Weeks 6–7: Kant’s Influence in the 19th Century (Nov. 12–19)	6
3.1	Week 6: Riemann and Helmholtz (Nov. 12)	6
3.2	Week 7: Hertz and Poincaré (Nov. 19)	6
4	Week 8: NO SEMINAR (Nov. 26)	7
5	Weeks 9–11: Kant’s Influence in the Early 20th Century (Dec. 03–Dec. 17)	7
5.1	Week 9: Russell (Dec. 03)	7
5.2	Week 10: Reichenbach (Dec. 10)	8
5.3	Week 11: Carnap (Dec. 17)	8
6	Week 12: NO SEMINAR (Dec. 24)	9

7 Weeks 13–16: Contemporary Neo-Kantianism (Jan. 07–28)	9
7.1 Week 13: Michael Friedman (Jan. 07)	9
7.2 Week 14: Data and Phenomena (Jan. 14)	10
7.3 Week 15: The Structure of Scientific Theories (Jan. 21)	11
7.4 Week 16: Realism and Empiricism (Jan. 28)	11
8 FINAL PAPER DUE (Mar. 27)	12
References	12

N.b.: many of the required and suggested readings are available online at the course’s website, though they may not be listed as such in the bibliography:

<http://strangebeautiful.com/lmu/2014-winter-kant-phil-sci.html>

1 Weeks 1–2: Introduction, Historical Background, Newton (Oct. 08–15)

1.1 Week 1: Introduction (Oct. 08)

Introduction, historical background

Suggested Reading

1. **Friedman (1992)**, *Kant and the Exact Sciences*: Introduction, pp. 1–54
2. **Massimi (2009)**, “Philosophy and the Sciences after Kant”

1.2 Week 2: Newton (Oct. 15)

Newton’s achievements as background and foundation for Kant’s mature thought

Required Reading

1. **Newton (1999)**, *Philosophiæ Naturalis Principia Mathematica*: Author’s Preface (pp. 381–383); Definitions and Scholium (pp. 403–415); Axioms, or the Laws of Motion and Scholium (pp. 416–430); Rules for the Study of Natural Philosophy (pp. 794–796); General Scholium (pp. 939–944)
2. **Stein (1990b)**, “On Locke, ‘the Great Huygenius, and the Incomparable Mr. Newton’”

Suggested Reading

1. **Cohen (1985)**, *The Birth of a New Physics*: ch. 7

2. Disalle (2004), “Newton’s Philosophical Analysis of Space and Time”
3. DiSalle (2006b), *Understanding Space-Time: The Philosophical Development of Physics from Newton to Einstein*: chs. 1–2
4. Harper (2004), “Newton’s Argument for Universal Gravitation”
5. Harper (2011), *Isaac Newton’s Scientific Method: Turning Data Into Evidence about Gravity and Cosmology*: *passim*
6. Massimi (2013), “Philosophy of Natural Science from Newton to Kant”
7. Maxwell (1877), *Matter and Motion*
8. Newton (nwn), “De Gravitatione et Æquipondio Fluidorum”
9. Stein (npubc), “On Metaphysics and Method in Newton”
10. Stein (npuba), “Further Considerations on Newton’s Method”
11. Stein (npubb), “Newton: Philosophy of Inquiry and Metaphysics of Nature”
12. Stein (1967), “Newtonian Space-Time”
13. Stein (1977), “Some Philosophical Prehistory of General Relativity”: §§1–4, pp. 3–14
14. Stein (1990a), “‘From the Phænomena of Motions to the Forces of Nature’: Hypothesis or Deduction?”
15. Stein (2004b), “Newton’s Metaphysics”

2 Weeks 3–5: Kant (Oct. 22–Nov. 05)

2.1 Week 3: Kant, *Critique of Pure Reason*, Part 1 (Oct. 22)

Critique of Pure Reason: the analytic and synthetic, the *a priori* and *a posteriori*, the pure forms of perception

Required Reading

1. Kant (1929) or Kant (1998), *Critique of Pure Reason*: Preface to First Edition (pp. 7–16); Preface to Second Edition (pp. 17–38); Introduction, §§I–VII (pp. 41–64); Transcendental Aesthetic (pp. 65–91)

Strongly Suggested Reading

1. Hume (1978), *A Treatise of Human Nature*: Book I, Part I, §§I–VII; Book I, Part III, §§I–XIV; Book I, Part IV, §§I–IV

Suggested Reading

1. Allison (2004), *Kant’s Transcendental Idealism: An Interpretation and Defense*: *passim*

2. Allison (2006), “A Priori”
3. Disalle (2013), “The Transcendental Method from Newton to Kant”
4. Friedman (1985), “Kant’s Theory of Geometry”
5. Friedman (1992), *Kant and the Exact Sciences*: ch. 1 (pp. 55–95)
6. Friedman (2014), “Space in Kantian Idealism”
7. Guyer (1987), *Kant and the Claims of Knowledge*: *passim*
8. Guyer (2010), *The Cambridge Companion to Kant’s Critique of Pure Reason*: *passim*
9. Harper (1986), “Kant on the A Priori and Material Necessity”
10. Kemp-Smith (1923), *Commentary to Kant’s Critique of Pure Reason*: *passim*
11. Pierris (1992), “The Constitutive A Priori”
12. Strawson (1966), *The Bounds of Sense: An Essay on Kant’s Critique of Pure Reason*: *passim*

2.2 Week 4: Kant, *Critique of Pure Reason*, Part 2 (Oct. 29)

Critique of Pure Reason: the pure categories of the understanding, judgments of experience

Required Reading

1. Kant (1929) or Kant (1998), *Critique of Pure Reason*: Analytic of Concepts, ch. 1, §§1–3 (pp. 104–119); Analytic of Principles, Introduction, ch. 1, ch.2 §§1–3 and first part of §4 (pp. 176–243)

Strongly Suggested Reading

1. Hume (1978), *A Treatise of Human Nature*: Book I, Part I, §§I–VII; Book I, Part III, §§I–XIV; Book I, Part IV, §§I–IV

Suggested Reading

1. Allison (2004), *Kant’s Transcendental Idealism: An Interpretation and Defense*: *passim*
2. Disalle (2013), “The Transcendental Method from Newton to Kant”
3. Friedman (2014), “Space in Kantian Idealism”
4. Guyer (1987), *Kant and the Claims of Knowledge*: *passim*
5. Guyer (2010), *The Cambridge Companion to Kant’s Critique of Pure Reason*: *passim*
6. Harper (1986), “Kant on the A Priori and Material Necessity”
7. Kemp-Smith (1923), *Commentary to Kant’s Critique of Pure Reason*: *passim*
8. Strawson (1966), *The Bounds of Sense: An Essay on Kant’s Critique of Pure Reason*: *passim*

2.3 Week 5: Kant, *Prolegomena* (Nov. 05)

How is natural science of the sort Newton achieved possible, and what is its conceptual structure?

Required Reading

1. Kant (2004b), *Prolegomena to Any Future Metaphysics That Will Be Able to Come Forward as Science*

Suggested Reading

1. Allison (2004), *Kant's Transcendental Idealism: An Interpretation and Defense: passim*
2. DiSalle (2006b), *Understanding Space-Time: The Philosophical Development of Physics from Newton to Einstein: ch. 3, §§1–4*
3. Domski (2013), “Kant and Newton on the A Priori Necessity of Geometry”
4. Friedman (1985), “Kant's Theory of Geometry”
5. Friedman (1992), *Kant and the Exact Sciences: chs. 2–4 (pp. 96–212)*
6. Friedman (2012a), “The ‘Prolegomena’ and Natural Science”
7. Friedman (2002), “Kant, Kuhn and the Rationality of Science”
8. Friedman (2006), “Philosophy of Natural Science”
9. Friedman (2013), *Kant's Construction of Nature: A Reading of the Metaphysical Foundations of Natural Science: passim*
10. Guyer (1987), *Kant and the Claims of Knowledge: passim*
11. Guyer (2010), *The Cambridge Companion to Kant's Critique of Pure Reason: passim*
12. Harper (1986), “Kant on the A Priori and Material Necessity”
13. Harper (1992), “Kant on Space, Empirical Realism and the Foundations of Geometry”
14. Harper (1995), “Kant, Riemann and Reichenbach on Space and Geometry”
15. Kant (2004a), *Metaphysical Foundations of Natural Science: passim*
16. Massimi (2014), “Prescribing Laws to Nature”
17. Strawson (1966), *The Bounds of Sense: An Essay on Kant's Critique of Pure Reason: passim*

3 Weeks 6–7: Kant’s Influence in the 19th Century (Nov. 12–19)

3.1 Week 6: Riemann and Helmholtz (Nov. 12)

Mathematical and physical geometry after Kant

Required Reading

1. [Helmholtz \(1870\)](#), “Über den Ursprung und die Bedeutung der geometrischen Axiome” (“On the Origin and Significance of the Geometrical Axioms”)
2. [Riemann \(1854\)](#), “Über die Hypothesen, welche der Geometrie zu Grunde liegen” (“On the Hypotheses, Which Lie at the Basis of Geometry”)

Suggested Reading

1. [DiSalle \(2006b\)](#), *Understanding Space-Time: The Philosophical Development of Physics from Newton to Einstein*: ch. 3, §§5–6
2. [DiSalle \(2006a\)](#), “Kant, Helmholtz, and the Meaning of Empiricism”
3. [Harper \(1995\)](#), “Kant, Riemann and Reichenbach on Space and Geometry”
4. [Helmholtz \(1868\)](#), “Über die Tatsachen, welche der Geometrie zu Grunde liegen” (“On the Facts, Which Lie at the Basis of Geometry”)
5. [Hyder \(2009\)](#), *The Determinate World: Kant and Helmholtz on the Physical Meaning of Geometry: passim*
6. [Sklar \(1976\)](#), *Space, Time and Spacetime*
7. [Torretti \(1978\)](#), *Philosophy of Geometry from Riemann to Poincaré*: ch. 2, §§1–2; ch. 3, §1
8. [Weyl \(1949\)](#), *Philosophy of Mathematics and Natural Science*: ch. III (pp. 67–91)

3.2 Week 7: Hertz and Poincaré (Nov. 19)

Neo-Kantian mechanics; geometrical conventionalism

Required Reading

1. [Hertz \(1899\)](#), *The Principles of Mechanics Presented in a New Form*: Introduction (pp. 1–41)
2. [Poincaré \(1905\)](#), *Science and Hypothesis*: Part II, chs. III–V (pp. 42–100)

Suggested Reading

1. Coffa (1986), “From Geometry to Tolerance: Sources of Conventionalism in 19th Century Geometry”
2. DiSalle (2006b), *Understanding Space-Time: The Philosophical Development of Physics from Newton to Einstein*: ch. 3, §§7–8
3. Helmholtz (1899), Preface (pp. I–XX) to *The Principles of Mechanics Presented in a New Form* by H. Hertz
4. Lützen (2006), “Images and Conventions: Kantianism, Empiricism, and Conventionalism in Hertz’s and Poincaré’s Philosophies of Space and Mechanics”
5. Mach (1960), *Space and Geometry*
6. Mach (1988), *The Science of Mechanics: A Critical and Historical Account of Its Development*
7. Schlick (1953), “Are Natural Laws Conventions?”
8. Sklar (1976), *Space, Time and Spacetime*
9. Stein (npubd), “Physics and Philosophy Meet: the Strange Case of Poincaré”
10. Torretti (1978), *Philosophy of Geometry from Riemann to Poincaré*: ch. 4, §4
11. Weyl (1949), *Philosophy of Mathematics and Natural Science*: ch. III (pp. 67–91)

4 Week 8: NO SEMINAR (Nov. 26)

5 Weeks 9–11: Kant’s Influence in the Early 20th Century (Dec. 03–Dec. 17)

5.1 Week 9: Russell (Dec. 03)

Russell’s structural view of physical knowledge

Required Reading

1. Russell (1927), *The Analysis of Matter*: ch. I (pp. 1–10); Part I, ch. XIV (pp. 130–140); Part II, chs. XV–XXIV (pp. 141–256)

Suggested Reading

1. Demopoulos (2008), “Some Remarks on the Bearing of Model Theory on the Theory of Theories”
2. Demopoulos and Friedman (1985), “Bertrand Russell’s *The Analysis of Matter*: Its Historical Context and Contemporary Interest”
3. Demopoulos and Friedman (1989), “The Concept of Structure in Russell’s *The Analysis of Matter*”

4. Newman (1928), “Mr. Russell’s Causal Theory of Perception”
5. Ramsey (1931a), “Facts and Propositions”
6. Ramsey (1931c), “Theories”

5.2 Week 10: Reichenbach (Dec. 10)

The constitutive and the relative *a priori* in scientific knowledge

Required Reading

1. Reichenbach (1965), *The Theory of Relativity and A Priori Knowledge*: ch. I (pp. 1–5); chs. IV–VII (pp. 34–92)

Suggested Reading

1. Cassirer (1980b), *Substance and Function*
2. Cassirer (1980a), *Einstein’s Theory of Relativity*
3. Coffa (2008), *The Semantic Tradition from Kant to Carnap: To the Vienna Station: passim*
4. Friedman (2007), “Coordination, Constitution, and Convention: The Evolution of the A Priori in Logical Empiricism”
5. Harper (1995), “Kant, Riemann and Reichenbach on Space and Geometry”
6. Padovani (2011), “Relativizing the Relativized A Priori: Reichenbach’s Axioms of Coordination Divided”
7. Reichenbach (1936), “Logistic Empiricism in Germany and the Present State of its Problems”
8. Reichenbach (1958), *The Philosophy of Space & Time*: ch. 1
9. Schlick (1985), *The General Theory of Knowledge*: Part I, §§1–12, pp. 1–93; Part III, ch. A, §§22–26, pp. 171–232; Part III, ch. C, §§36–41, pp. 333–400;

5.3 Week 11: Carnap (Dec. 17)

Observational versus theoretical concepts and terms; the analytic, the synthetic and the *a priori* in linguistic frameworks

Required Reading

1. Carnap (1936), “Testability and Meaning”
2. Carnap (1956a), “Empiricism, Semantics and Ontology”
3. Stein (1992), “Was Carnap Entirely Wrong, After All?”

Suggested Reading

1. Carnap (1956b), “The Methodological Character of Theoretical Concepts”
2. Carnap (1959), *The Logical Syntax of Language*
3. Coffa (2008), *Semantic Tradition Kant to Carnap: To the Vienna Station: passim*
4. Demopoulos (2013c), “On Extending ‘Empiricism, Semantics and Ontology’ to the Realism-Instrumentalism Controversy”
5. Demopoulos (2013d), “On the Rational Reconstruction of Our Theoretical Knowledge”
6. Demopoulos (2013e), “Three Views of Theoretical Knowledge”
7. Friedman (2002), “Kant, Kuhn and the Rationality of Science”
8. Friedman (2007), “Coordination, Constitution, and Convention: The Evolution of the A Priori in Logical Empiricism”
9. Friedman (2011a), “Carnap on Theoretical Terms: Structuralism without Metaphysics”
10. Lutz (2014), “Carnap on Empirical Significance”
11. Putnam (1983d), “‘Two Dogmas’ Revisited”
12. Quine (1980b), “Two Dogmas of Empiricism”
13. Quine (1951), “On Carnap’s Views on Ontology”
14. Quine (1960), “Carnap and Logical Truth”
15. Reichenbach (1936), “Logistic Empiricism in Germany and the Present State of its Problems”

6 Week 12: NO SEMINAR (Dec. 24)

7 Weeks 13–16: Contemporary Neo-Kantianism (Jan. 07–28)

7.1 Week 13: Michael Friedman (Jan. 07)

The relativized *a priori* and the structure and nature of scientific knowledge

Required Reading

1. Friedman (2001), *The Dynamics of Reason*

Suggested Reading

1. DiSalle (2002), “Reconsidering Kant, Friedman, Logical Positivism, and the Exact Sciences”

2. Domski and Dickson (2010), *Discourse on a New Method: Reinvigorating the Marriage of History and Philosophy of Science*
3. Fraassen (2008), *Scientific Representation*: ch. 5, pp. 115–140
4. Friedman (2008), “Einstein, Kant, and the A Priori”
5. Friedman (2009), “Einstein, Kant, and the Relativized A Priori”
6. Friedman (2010), “A Post-Kuhnian Approach to the History and Philosophy of Science”
7. Friedman (2011b), “Extending the Dynamics of Reason”
8. Friedman (2012b), “Reconsidering the Dynamics of Reason: Response to Ferrari, Mormann, Nordmann, and Uebel”
9. Kuhn (1996), *The Structure of Scientific Revolutions*
10. Kuhn (1993), “Afterwords”
11. Lange (2004), “Review Essay on *Dynamics of Reason* by Michael Friedman”
12. Quine (1980a), *From a Logical Point of View*
13. Quine (1969), *Ontological Relativity and Other Essays*
14. Uebel (2012), “De-Synthesizing the Relative A Priori”

7.2 Week 14: Data and Phenomena (Jan. 14)

How does experimental data get turned into structured phenomena of the sort amenable to making contact with scientific theories?

Required Reading

1. Bogen and Woodward (1988), “Saving the Phenomena”
2. Massimi (2011), “From Data to Phenomena: A Kantian Stance”
3. Stein (1994), “Some Reflections on the Structure of Our Knowledge in Physics”
4. Stein (1992, pp. 298–291), “Was Carnap Entirely Wrong, after All?": pp. 298–291 (from “Now, I have remarked that” on p. 289, to “in terms of the pragmatics of a Carnapian framework.” on p. 291)

Suggested Reading

1. Fraassen (1980), *The Scientific Image*, chs. 3–4
2. Fraassen (2008), *Scientific Representation*: chs. 6–7, pp. 141–190
3. Lakatos (1970), “Falsification and the Methodology of Scientific Research Programmes”
4. Massimi (2008b), “Why There Are No Ready-Made Phenomena: What Philosophers of Science Should Learn from Kant”
5. McAllister (2011), “What Do Patterns in Empirical Data Tell Us about the Structure of the World?”

6. Stein (2004a), “The Enterprise of Understanding and the Enterprise of Knowledge”
7. Teller (2010), “‘Saving the Phenomena’ Today”
8. Woodward (1989), “Data and Phenomena”
9. Woodward (2000), “Data, Phenomena, and Reliability”
10. Woodward (2010), “Data, Phenomena, Signal, and Noise”
11. Woodward (2011), “Data and Phenomena: A Restatement and Defense”

7.3 Week 15: The Structure of Scientific Theories (Jan. 21)

What structure must scientific theories have in order to represent phenomena and encode scientific knowledge?

Required Reading

1. Putnam (1962), “What Theories Are Not”
2. Fraassen (1980), *The Scientific Image*, ch. 3

Suggested Reading

1. Brading and Landry (2004), “A Minimal Construal of Scientific Structuralism”
2. Brading and Landry (2006), “Scientific Structuralism: Presentation and Representation”
3. Fraassen (2008), *Scientific Representation*: ch. 11, pp. 237–268
4. Halvorson (2012), “What Scientific Theories Could Not Be”
5. Lakatos (1970), “Falsification and the Methodology of Scientific Research Programmes”
6. Putnam (1983a), “Models and Reality”
7. Suppe (1974), “The Search for Philosophic Understanding of Scientific Theories”
8. Suppes (1960), “A Comparison of the Meaning and Uses of Models in Mathematics and the Empirical Sciences”

7.4 Week 16: Realism and Empiricism (Jan. 28)

The status of the noumena today.

Required Reading

1. Boyd (1991), “On the Current State of Scientific Realism”
2. Fraassen (1980), *The Scientific Image*, ch. 2

3. Stein (1989), “Yes, but...: Some Skeptical Remarks on Realism and Anti-Realism”

Suggested Reading

1. Chang (2008), “Contingent Transcendental Arguments for Metaphysical Principles”
2. Demopoulos (2013c), “On Extending ‘Empiricism, Semantics and Ontology’ to the Realism-Instrumentalism Controversy”
3. Demopoulos (2013a), “Carnap’s Analysis of Realism”
4. Fraassen (1976), “To Save the Phenomena”
5. Fraassen (2008), *Scientific Representation*: chs. 12–13, pp. 269–308
6. Morrison (2008), “Reduction, Unity, and the Nature of Science: Kant’s Legacy?”
7. Putnam (1981), *Reason, Truth and History*
8. Putnam (1983a), “Models and Reality”
9. Putnam (1983c), “Reference and Truth”
10. Putnam (1983e), “Why There Isn’t a Ready-Made World”
11. Torretti (2008), “Objectivity: A Kantian Perspective”

8 FINAL PAPER DUE (Mar. 27)

References

- Allison, H. (2004). *Kant’s Transcendental Idealism: An Interpretation and Defense*. New Haven: Yale University Press. Revised and enlarged edition.
- Allison, H. (2006). “a priori”. See Guyer (2006), Chapter 1, pp. 28–60.
- Bogen, J. and J. Woodward (1988, July). Saving the phenomena. *The Philosophical Review* xcvii(3), 303–352. doi:10.2307/2185445.
- Boyd, R. (1991). On the current status of scientific realism. In *The Philosophy of Science*, pp. 195–222. Cambridge, MA: The MIT Press. Originally published in *Erkenntnis* 19(1983):45–90.
- Brading, K. and E. Landry (2004). A minimal construal of scientific structuralism. Delivered as a talk as part of the Symposium “The Semantic View of Theories, Scientific Structuralism and Structural Realism”, at the 20th biennial meeting of the Philosophy of Science Association, 2004, Vancouver, BC. Available at http://philsci-archive.pitt.edu/archive/00002181/01/Minimal_Structuralism.pdf.

- Brading, K. and E. Landry (2006, December). Scientific structuralism: Presentation and representation. *Philosophy of Science* 73, 571–581. Originally delivered as a talk as part of the Symposium “The Semantic View of Theories, Scientific Structuralism and Structural Realism”, at the 20th biennial meeting of the Philosophy of Science Association, 2004, Vancouver, BC. Extended version available at http://philsci-archive.pitt.edu/archive/00002181/01/Minimal_Structuralism.pdf.
- Carnap, R. (1936, Oct.). Testability and meaning. I. *Philosophy of Science* 3(4), 419–471. Part 1 of a two-part article; reprinted, with omissions, in H. Feigl and M. Brodbeck, eds., *Readings in the Philosophy of Science*, 1953 (New York: Appleton-Century-Crofts, Inc.), pp. 47–92.
- Carnap, R. (1956a). Empiricism, semantics and ontology. In *Meaning and Necessity: A Study in Semantics and Modal Logic* (Second ed.), pp. 205–221. Chicago: The University of Chicago Press. An earlier version was published in *Revue Internationale de Philosophie* 4(1950):20–40.
- Carnap, R. (1956b). The methodological character of theoretical concepts. In H. Feigl and M. Scriven (Eds.), *The Foundations of Science and the Concepts of Psychology and Psychoanalysis*, Volume I of *Minnesota Studies in the Philosophy of Science*, pp. 38–76. Minneapolis: University of Minnesota Press.
- Carnap, R. (1959). *The Logical Syntax of Language*. International Library of Psychology, Philosophy and Scientific Method. Paterson, NJ: Littlefield, Adams & Co. Originally published as *Logische Syntax der Sprache*, Springer, Wien, 1934. Translated by A. Smeaton. Reprint of the first English edition, Routledge and Kegan Paul, Ltd., London, 1937.
- Cassirer, E. (1980a). *Einstein’s Theory of Relativity*. New York: Dover Publications, Inc.
- Cassirer, E. (1980b). *Substance and Function*. New York: Dover Publications, Inc.
- Chang, H. (2008). Contingent transcendental arguments for metaphysical principles. See [Massimi \(2008a\)](#), Chapter 6, pp. 113–134.
- Coffa, J. (1986). From geometry to tolerance: Sources of conventionalism in 19th century geometry. In R. Colodny (Ed.), *From Quarks to Quasars: Philosophical Problems of Modern Physics*, pp. 3–70. Pittsburgh: Pittsburgh University Press.
- Coffa, J. (2008). *The Semantic Tradition from Kant to Carnap: To the Vienna Station*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

- Cohen, I. (1985). *The Birth of a New Physics* (Revised and updated ed.). New York: W. W. Norton & Co.
- Cohen, I. and G. Smith (Eds.) (2004). *The Cambridge Companion to Newton*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Demopoulos, W. (2008). Some remarks on the bearing of model theory on the theory of theories. *Synthese* 164 (3), 359–383.
- Demopoulos, W. (2013a). Carnap’s analysis of realism. See [Demopoulos \(2013b\)](#), Chapter 4, pp. 68–89.
- Demopoulos, W. (2013b). *Logicism and Its Philosophical Legacy*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Demopoulos, W. (2013c). On extending “Empiricism, semantics and ontology” to the realism-instrumentalism controversy. See [Demopoulos \(2013b\)](#), Chapter 3, pp. 46–67.
- Demopoulos, W. (2013d). On the rational reconstruction of our theoretical knowledge. See [Demopoulos \(2013b\)](#), Chapter 6, pp. 108–139.
- Demopoulos, W. (2013e). Three views of theoretical knowledge. See [Demopoulos \(2013b\)](#), Chapter 7, pp. 140–168.
- Demopoulos, W. and M. Friedman (1985). Bertrand Russell’s *The Analysis of Matter*: Its historical context and contemporary interest. *Philosophy of Science* 52(4), 621–639.
- Demopoulos, W. and M. Friedman (1989). The concept of structure in Russell’s *The Analysis of Matter*. In C. Savage and C. Anderson (Eds.), *Rereading Russell: Essays in Bertrand Russell’s Metaphysics and Epistemology*, pp. 183–199. Minneapolis: University of Minnesota Press.
- DiSalle, R. (2002, June). Reconsidering Kant, Friedman, Logical Positivism, and the exact sciences. *Philosophy of Science* 69(2), 191–211. [doi:10.1086/341049](https://doi.org/10.1086/341049).
- DiSalle, R. (2004). Newton’s philosophical analysis of space and time. See [Cohen and Smith \(2004\)](#), Chapter 1, pp. 33–56.
- DiSalle, R. (2006a). Kant, Helmholtz, and the meaning of empiricism. See [Friedman and Nordmann \(2006\)](#), Chapter 7, pp. 123–140.
- DiSalle, R. (2006b). *Understanding Space-Time: The Philosophical Development of Physics from Newton to Einstein*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- DiSalle, R. (2013, September). The transcendental method from Newton to Kant. *Studies in History and Philosophy of Science Part A* 44(3), 448–456.

[doi:10.1016/j.shpsa.2012.10.006](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.shpsa.2012.10.006).

- Domski, M. (2013, September). Kant and Newton on the a priori necessity of geometry. *Studies in History and Philosophy of Science Part A* 44(3), 438–447. [doi:10.1016/j.shpsa.2012.10.007](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.shpsa.2012.10.007).
- Domski, M. and M. Dickson (Eds.) (2010). *Discourse on a New Method: Reinvigorating the Marriage of History and Philosophy of Science*. LaSalle, IL: Open Court Press.
- Fraassen, B. v. (1976). To save the phenomena. *Journal of Philosophy* 73(18), 623–632. [doi:10.2307/2025818](https://doi.org/10.2307/2025818).
- Fraassen, B. v. (1980). *The Scientific Image*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Fraassen, B. v. (2008). *Scientific Representation*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Friedman, M. (1985, October). Kant’s theory of geometry. *The Philosophical Review* 94(4), 455–506.
- Friedman, M. (1992). *Kant and the Exact Sciences*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.
- Friedman, M. (2001). *The Dynamics of Reason*. Stanford, CA: CSLI Publications. Delivered as the 1999 Kant Lectures at Stanford University.
- Friedman, M. (2002, June). Kant, kuhn and the rationality of science. *Philosophy of Science* 69, 171–190. The paper first appeared in *History of Philosophy of Science: New Trends and Perspectives*, eds. M. Heidelberger and F. Stadler (Dordrecht: Kluwer, 2002).
- Friedman, M. (2006). Philosophy of natural science. See [Guyer \(2006\)](#), Chapter 9, pp. 303–341.
- Friedman, M. (2007). Coordination, constitution, and convention: The evolution of the a priori in Logical Empiricism. In A. Richardson and T. Uebel (Eds.), *The Cambridge Companion to Logical Empiricism*, pp. 91–116. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Friedman, M. (2008). Einstein, Kant, and the a priori. See [Massimi \(2008a\)](#), Chapter 5, pp. 95–112.
- Friedman, M. (2009). Einstein, Kant, and the relativized a priori. In M. Bitbol, P. Kerszberg, and J. Petitot (Eds.), *Constituting Objectivity: Transcendental Perspectives on Modern Physics*, Volume 74 of *The Western Ontario Series in Philosophy of Science*, Chapter 15, pp. 253–267. Berlin: Springer. [doi:10.1007/978-1-4020-9510-8_15](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4020-9510-8_15).

- Friedman, M. (2010, October). A post-Kuhnian approach to the history and philosophy of science. *The Monist* 93(4), 497–517. doi:10.5840/monist201093430.
- Friedman, M. (2011a, May). Carnap on theoretical terms: Structuralism without metaphysics. *Synthese* 180, 249–263. doi:10.1007/s11229-009-9604-y.
- Friedman, M. (2011b, November). Extending the dynamics of reason. *Erkenntnis* 75(3), 431–444. doi:10.1007/s10670-011-9342-7.
- Friedman, M. (2012a). The ‘Prolegomena’ and natural science. In H. Lyre and O. Schliemann (Eds.), *Kants Prolegomena : ein kooperativer Kommentar*, pp. 299–326. Frankfurt am Main: Klostermann.
- Friedman, M. (2012b, March). Reconsidering the dynamics of reason: Response to Ferrari, Mormann, Nordmann, and Uebel. *Studies in History and Philosophy of Science Part A* 43(1), 47–53. doi:10.1016/j.shpsa.2011.10.006.
- Friedman, M. (2013). *Kant’s Construction of Nature: A Reading of the Metaphysical Foundations of Natural Science*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Friedman, M. (2014). Space in Kantian idealism. Unpublished manuscript.
- Friedman, M. and A. Nordmann (Eds.) (2006). *The Kantian Legacy in Nineteenth-Century Science*. Dibner Institute Studies in the History of Science and Technology. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- Guyer, P. (1987). *Kant and the Claims of Knowledge*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Guyer, P. (Ed.) (2006). *The Cambridge Companion to Kant and Modern Philosophy*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Guyer, P. (Ed.) (2010). *The Cambridge Companion to Kant’s Critique of Pure Reason*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Halvorson, H. (2012, April). What scientific theories could not be. *Philosophy of Science* 79(2), 183–206.
- Harper, W. (1986). Kant on the a priori and material necessity. In R. Butts (Ed.), *Kant’s Philosophy of Physical Science*, pp. 239–272. Dordrecht: D. Reidel Publishing Co.
- Harper, W. (1992). Kant on space, empirical realism and the foundations of geometry. In C. Posy (Ed.), *Kant’s Philosophy of Mathematics*, pp. 257–291. Amsterdam: Kluwer Academic Publishers.

- Harper, W. (1995). Kant, riemann and reichenbach on space and geometry. In H. Robinson (Ed.), *Proceedings of the Eighth International Kant Congress*, Volume 1, pp. 423–454. Milwaukee: Marquette University Press.
- Harper, W. (2004). Newton’s argument for universal gravitation. See [Cohen and Smith \(2004\)](#), Chapter 5, pp. 174–201.
- Harper, W. (2011). *Isaac Newton’s Scientific Method: Turning Data Into Evidence about Gravity and Cosmology*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Helmholtz, H. (1868, Juni 3). Über die Tatsachen, welche der Geometrie zu Grunde liegen. *Nachrichten von der Königl. Gesellschaft der Wissenschaften und der G. A. Universität zu Göttingen* 9, 193–221.
- Helmholtz, H. (1870). Über den Ursprung und die Bedeutung der geometrischen Axiome. In *Populäre Wissenschaftliche Vorträge von H. Helmholtz*, Volume 3, pp. 23–51. Braunschweig. A lecture delivered in the Dozentverein of Heidelberg, 1870. English translation by Howard Stein (unpublished), “On the Origin and Significance of the Geometrical Axioms”, available online at <http://strangebeautiful.com/other-minds.html#helmholtz>.
- Helmholtz, H. (1899). *Preface*, pp. i–xx. In [Hertz \(1899\)](#).
- Hertz, H. (1899). *The Principles of Mechanics Presented in a New Form*. New York: Dover Press. Trans. D. Jones and J. Walley. Originally published posthumously as *Die Prinzipien der Mechanik in Neuem Zusammenhange Dargestellt* (Leipzig, 1894). This is a reprint of the first English edition which appeared in 1899.
- Hume, D. (1978). *A Treatise of Human Nature* (second ed.). Oxford: Clarendon Press. Ed. L. Selby-Bigge, revised by P. Nidditch, based on the edition of 1739–1740.
- Hyder, D. (2009). *The Determinate World: Kant and Helmholtz on the Physical Meaning of Geometry*. Berlin: Walter de Gruyter.
- Kant, I. (1929). *Critique of Pure Reason*. London: MacMillan and Co., Ltd. Translated by N. Kemp-Smith, based on the original text of the Second Edition of 1787 of *Critik der reinen Vernunft*.
- Kant, I. (1998). *Critique of Pure Reason*. The Cambridge Edition of the Works of Immanuel Kant. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press. Translated and edited by P. Guyer and A. Wood.
- Kant, I. (2004a). *Metaphysical Foundations of Natural Science*, pp. 181–270. In

- The Cambridge Edition of the Works of Immanuel Kant* Kant (2004c). Translated by M. Friedman.
- Kant, I. (2004b). *Prolegomena to Any Future Metaphysics That Will Be Able to Come Forward as Science*, pp. 49–169. In *The Cambridge Edition of the Works of Immanuel Kant* Kant (2004c). Translated by G. Hatfield.
- Kant, I. (2004c). *Theoretical Philosophy after 1781*. The Cambridge Edition of the Works of Immanuel Kant. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press. Edited by H. Allen and P. Heath, translated by G. Hatfield, M. Friedman, H. Allen and P. Heath.
- Kemp-Smith, N. (1923). *Commentary to Kant’s Critique of Pure Reason* (Second ed.). New York: Humanities Press.
- Kuhn, T. (1993). Afterwords. In P. Horwich (Ed.), *World Changes: Thomas Kuhn and the Nature of Science*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- Kuhn, T. (1996). *The Structure of Scientific Revolutions* (Third ed.). Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- Lakatos, I. (1970). Falsification and the methodology of scientific research programmes. In I. Lakatos and A. Musgrave (Eds.), *Criticism and the Growth of Knowledge*, pp. 91–196. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press. Reprinted in his *Methodology of Scientific Research Programmes: Philosophical Papers*, vol. 1, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1980, eds. J. Worrall and G. Currie, ch. 1, pp. 8–101.
- Lange, M. (2004, May). Review essay on *Dynamics of Reason* by Michael Friedman. *Philosophy and Phenomenological Research* 68(3), 702–712. [doi:10.1111/j.1933-1592.2004.tb00377.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1933-1592.2004.tb00377.x).
- Lutz, S. (2014, October). Carnap on empirical significance. *Synthese*, 1–36. [doi:10.1007/s11229-014-0561-8](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11229-014-0561-8).
- Lützen, J. (2006). Images and conventions: Kantianism, empiricism, and conventionalism in Hertz’s and Poincaré’s philosophies of space and mechanics. See Friedman and Nordmann (2006), Chapter 14, pp. 315–330.
- Mach, E. (1960). *Space and Geometry*. LaSalle, IL: Open Court Press.
- Mach, E. (1988). *The Science of Mechanics: A Critical and Historical Account of Its Development*. LaSalle, IL: Open Court Publishing Co. Translated by T. McCormack.
- Massimi, M. (Ed.) (2008a). *Kant and Philosophy of Science Today*, Volume 63 of

Royal Institute of Philosophy Supplements. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

- Massimi, M. (2008b). Why there are no ready-made phenomena: What philosophers of science should learn from Kant. See [Massimi \(2008a\)](#), Chapter 1, pp. 1–35. Preprint available on author’s website: <https://sites.google.com/site/philosophymassimi/publications>.
- Massimi, M. (2009). Philosophy and the sciences after Kant. In A. O’Hear (Ed.), *Conceptions of Philosophy*, Volume 65 of *Royal Institute of Philosophy Supplements*, pp. 275–311. Cambridge: University of Cambridge Press. doi:10.1017/S1358246109990142.
- Massimi, M. (2011, September). From data to phenomena: A Kantian stance. *Synthese* 182(1), 101–116. doi:10.1007/s11229-009-9611-z.
- Massimi, M. (2013, September). Philosophy of natural science from Newton to Kant. *Studies in History and Philosophy of Science Part A* 44(3), 393–395. doi:10.1016/j.shpsa.2012.10.010.
- Massimi, M. (2014). Prescribing laws to nature. Forthcoming in *Kant-Studien*. Available on the author’s homepage: <https://sites.google.com/site/philosophymassimi/publications>.
- Maxwell, J. C. (1877). *Matter and Motion*. New York: Dover Publications, Inc. Originally published in 1877. This edition is a 1952 unaltered republication of the 1920 Larmor edition.
- McAllister, J. (2011, September). What do patterns in empirical data tell us about the structure of the world? *synthese* 182(1), 73–87. doi:10.1007/s11229-009-9613-x.
- Morrison, M. (2008). Reduction, unity, and the nature of science: Kant’s legacy? See [Massimi \(2008a\)](#), Chapter 2, pp. 37–62.
- Newman, M. (1928, April). Mr. Russell’s causal theory of perception. *Mind* xxxvii(146), 137–148. doi:10.1093/mind/XXXVII.146.137.
- Newton, I. (1999). *The Principia: Mathematical Principles of Natural Philosophy*. Berkeley, CA: University of California Press. Translation of *Philosophiæ Naturalis Principia Mathematica* by I. Cohen and A. Whitman, based on the Third Edition text of 1726. (The first edition of *Principia* was published in 1687, the second in 1713.).
- Newton, I. (Unknown). De gravitatione et æquipondio fluidorum. Excerpts of the original Latin translated by H. Stein, with interpolated com-

mentary. Available online at <http://strangebeautiful.com/other-texts/newton-de-grav-stein-trans.pdf>.

- Padovani, F. (2011, July). Relativizing the relativized a priori: Reichenbach’s axioms of coordination divided. *Synthese* 181(1), 41–62. doi:10.1007/s11229-009-9590-0.
- Pierris, G. d. (1992). The constitutive a priori. *Canadian Journal of Philosophy* 22, Supplement 1, 179–214. Supplementary volume 18: “Return of the A Priori”. doi:10.1080/00455091.1992.10717303.
- Poincaré, H. (1905). *Science and Hypothesis*. London: The Walter Scott Publishing Co., Ltd. Translated by W. Greenstreet, with a preface by J. Larmor.
- Putnam, H. (1962). What theories are not. In E. Nagel, P. Suppes, and A. Tarski (Eds.), *Logic, Methodology and Philosophy of Science*, pp. 240–251. Palo Alto, CA: Stanford University Press.
- Putnam, H. (1981). *Reason, Truth and History*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Putnam, H. (1983a). Models and reality. See Putnam (1983b), Chapter 1, pp. 1–25.
- Putnam, H. (1983b). *Realism and Reason: Philosophical Papers*, Volume 3. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Putnam, H. (1983c). Reference and truth. See Putnam (1983b), Chapter 4, pp. 69–86.
- Putnam, H. (1983d). ‘two dogmas’ revisited. See Putnam (1983b), Chapter 5, pp. 87–97.
- Putnam, H. (1983e). Why there isn’t a ready-made world. See Putnam (1983b), Chapter 12, pp. 205–228.
- Quine, W. (1951). On Carnap’s views on ontology. *Philosophical Studies* 2, 65–72.
- Quine, W. (1960, December). Carnap and logical truth. *Synthese* 12(4), 350–274. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/20114356>.
- Quine, W. (1969). *Ontological Relativity and Other Essays*. Number One in John Dewey Essays in Philosophy. New York: Columbia University Press.
- Quine, W. (1980a). *From a Logical Point of View: Nine Logico-Philosophical Essays* (2nd, revised ed.). Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.

- Quine, W. (1980b). *Two Dogmas of Empiricism* (2nd, revised ed.), Chapter II, pp. 20–46. In [Quine \(1980a\)](#). Emended version of the article originally published in the *Philosophical Review*, January, 1951.
- Ramsey, F. (1931a). Facts and propositions. See [Ramsey \(1931b\)](#), pp. 138–155. Edited by R. Braithwaite.
- Ramsey, F. (1931b). *The Foundations of Mathematics and Other Logical Essays*. International Library of Psychology, Philosophy and Scientific Method. London: Routledge & Kegan Paul, Ltd. Edited by R. Braithwaite.
- Ramsey, F. (1931c). Theories. See [Ramsey \(1931b\)](#), pp. 212–236. Edited by R. Braithwaite.
- Reichenbach, H. (1927[1958]). *The Philosophy of Space & Time*. New York City: Dover Publications, Inc. Translated by Maria Reichenbach and John Freund in 1957, from the original 1927 edition.
- Reichenbach, H. (1936, March). Logistic empiricism in Germany and the present state of its problems. *Journal of Philosophy* 33(6), 141–160. [doi:10.2307/2015405](https://doi.org/10.2307/2015405).
- Reichenbach, H. (1965). *The Theory of Relativity and A Priori Knowledge*. Berkeley, CA: University of California Press.
- Riemann, B. (1854). Über die Hypothesen, welche der Geometrie zu Grunde liegen. Habilitationsschrift, Königlichen Gesellschaft der Wissenschaften zu Göttingen. English translation by Howard Stein (unpublished), “On the Hypotheses, Which Lie at the Basis of Geometry”, available online at <http://strangebeautiful.com/other-minds.html#riemann>.
- Russell, B. (1927). *The Analysis of Matter*. New York: Dover Press. A 1954 reprint of the original 1927 edition by Kegan Paul, Trench, Trübner & Co. of London.
- Schlick, M. (1953). Are natural laws conventions? In H. Feigl and W. Sellars (Eds.), *Readings in the Philosophy of Science*, pp. 181–188. New York: Appleton-Century-Crofts Press.
- Schlick, M. (1985). *The General Theory of Knowledge*. LaSalle, IL: Open Court Press. Originally published as *Allgemeine Erkenntnislehre*, 1925, Julius Springer, Berlin. Translated by A. Blumberg.
- Sklar, L. (1976). *Space, Time and Spacetime* (Paperback ed.). Berkeley: University of California Press.
- Stein, H. (1967). Newtonian space-time. *Texas Quarterly* 10, 174–200.

- Stein, H. (1977). Some philosophical prehistory of general relativity. In C. G. Earman, J. and J. Stachel (Eds.), *Foundations of Space-Time Theories*, Volume VII of *Minnesota Studies in Philosophy of Science*, pp. 3–49. Minneapolis: University of Minnesota Press.
- Stein, H. (1989). Yes, but . . . : Some skeptical remarks on realism and anti-realism. *Dialectica* 43, 47–65.
- Stein, H. (1990a). “From the phænomena of motions to the forces of nature”: Hypothesis or deduction? *Philosophy of Science (Proceedings)* 2, 209–222.
- Stein, H. (1990b). On Locke, “the Great Huygenius, and the Incomparable Mr. Newton”. In P. Bricker and R. Hughes (Eds.), *Perspectives on Newtonian Science*, pp. 17–47. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- Stein, H. (1992). Was Carnap entirely wrong, after all? *Synthese* 93, 275–295.
- Stein, H. (1994). Some reflections on the structure of our knowledge in physics. In D. Prawitz, B. Skyrms, and D. Westerståhl (Eds.), *Logic, Methodology and Philosophy of Science*, Proceedings of the Ninth International Congress of Logic, Methodology and Philosophy of Science, pp. 633–55. New York: Elsevier Science B.V. I do not have access to the published version of Stein’s paper, but rather only to a typed manuscript. All references to page numbers, therefore, do not correspond to those of the published version. The typed manuscript I have is about 17 pages long, and the published version about 22. Multiplying the page numbers I give by $\frac{17}{22}$ and adding the result to 633 (the number of the first page in the published version) should give approximately the page number in the published version.
- Stein, H. (2004a). The enterprise of understanding and the enterprise of knowledge—for Isaac Levi’s seventieth birthday. *Synthese* 140, 135–176. I do not have access to the published version of Stein’s paper, but rather only to a typed manuscript. All references to page numbers, therefore, do not correspond to those of the published version. The typed manuscript I have is 65 pages long, and the published version about 41. Multiplying the page numbers I give by $\frac{41}{65}$ and adding the result to 135 (the number of the first page in the published version) should give approximately the page number in the published version.
- Stein, H. (2004b). Newton’s metaphysics. See [Cohen and Smith \(2004\)](#), Chapter 8, pp. 256–307.
- Stein, H. (unpub.a). Further considerations on Newton’s method. Unpublished manuscript.

- Stein, H. (unpubb). Newton: Philosophy of inquiry and metaphysics of nature. Typed manuscript not submitted for publication.
- Stein, H. (unpubc). On metaphysics and method in Newton. Typed manuscript not submitted for publication.
- Stein, H. (unpubd). Physics and philosophy meet: The strange case of Poincaré. Typed manuscript not submitted for publication.
- Strawson, P. (1966). *The Bounds of Sense: An Essay on Kant's Critique of Pure Reason*. London: Routledge.
- Suppe, F. (1974). The search for philosophic understanding of scientific theories. In F. Suppe (Ed.), *The Structure of Scientific Theories*, pp. 3–254. Chicago: University of Illinois Press.
- Suppes, P. (1960, September). A comparison of the meaning and uses of models in mathematics and the empirical sciences. *Synthese* 12(2–3), 287–301. doi:10.1007/BF00485107.
- Teller, P. (2010, December). ‘saving the phenomena’ today. *Philosophy of Science* 77(5), 815–826. doi:10.1086/656537.
- Torretti, R. (1978). *Philosophy of Geometry from Riemann to Poincaré*. Dordrecht: D. Reidel Publishing Co.
- Torretti, R. (2008). Objectivity: A Kantian perspective. See [Massimi \(2008a\)](#), Chapter 4, pp. 81–94.
- Uebel, T. (2012, March). De-synthesizing the relative a priori. *Studies in History and Philosophy of Science Part A* 43(1), 7–17. doi:10.1016/j.shpsa.2011.10.002.
- Weyl, H. (1949). *Philosophy of Mathematics and Natural Science*. Princeton: Princeton University Press.
- Woodward, J. (1989, June). Data and phenomena. *Synthese* 79(3), 393–472. doi:10.1007/BF00869282.
- Woodward, J. (2000, September). Data, phenomena, and reliability. *Philosophy of Science* 67, S163–S179. Supplement. Proceedings of the 1998 Biennial Meetings of the Philosophy of Science Association. Part II: Symposia Papers. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/188666>.
- Woodward, J. (2010, December). Data, phenomena, signal, and noise. *Philosophy of Science* 77(5), 792–803. doi:10.1086/656554.
- Woodward, J. (2011). Data and phenomena: A restatement and defense. *Synthese* 182, 165–179. doi:10.1007/s11229-009-9618-5.